

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,513	08/28/2001	George D. Gates	T9864	7335	
75	7590 03/09/2004			EXAMINER	
David R. McKinney			CRONIN, STEPHEN K		
THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Sandy, UT 84091-1219			3727		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/941,513	GATES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen K. Cronin	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 May 2003 is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/941,513

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hancock et al. 6,484,913.

Hancock teaches a utility holding device comprising a pivotable support base 14, 38, 40, 66, with a u-bolt 28 which is adjustable relative to the pivoting support base, a bottom V-shaped surface, an offset member 70, 76, 80, and a U-shaped holding member 98 comprising upright arms, resilient fingers, hold down attachments, and balls.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hancock et al. 6,484,913 in view of Meeks 6,199,734.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,513

Art Unit: 3727

Hancock teaches the claimed invention except that the structure which it is bolted to comprises a cylindrical handle bar instead of a flat panel. Meeks teaches a similar invention in which a holding device 10 is mounted to a flat panel surface 12. To modify the invention of Hancock to allow its mounting to a flat panel surface in the manner as taught by Meeks would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to allow the use of the Hancock device on a flat panel rack of an ATV.

Page 3

5. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hancock et al. 6,484,913 in view of Gates et al. 6,626,339.

Hancock teaches the claimed invention except for the concept of mounting his device to a cargo rack. Gates teaches a similar utility holding device wherein in column 2 lines 49-53, this concept of mounting a holding device to a utility rack is taught as an equivalent means to a handlebar of an ATV. This therefore is an art equivalent means which would have been obvious.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen K. Cronin whose telephone number is 703-308-4296. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen K. Cronin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

skc